

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1954 OF 2005

PETITIONER

Durgesh Kapoor Dewangan, aged about 30 years, S/o Shri Harishchandra Dewangan, R/o Village Temar, Tahsil Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).


P.R. No. 2175/05
Presented by Shri Durgesh Kapoor
dated 12.05.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through: The Secretary, Department of School Education Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Director, Public Instructions, Raipur (C.G.).
3. District Education Officer, Raigarh (C.G.).
4. Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Kosir, District Raigarh (C.G.).

D-COPY
M.C. BILASPUR
15/05



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS ETC.

The petitioner, named above most humbly and respectfully submits as under:-

56

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1954/2005 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>15.06.2005</u> Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. The case of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Part Time Teacher vide order dated 12.10. 1995. Thereafter, as per circular dated 14.7.1998 the petitioner was appointed as teacher on contract basis, however, vide order dated 30.4.1999 the services of the petitioner were discontinued. Thereafter, the petitioner had made several representations for reinstatement of the petitioner, but no action has been taken by the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No. 2 along with copy of this petition raising all his grievances and respondent No. 2 be directed to decide his representation. This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State. Having considered the facts and circumstances and having heard learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation along with copy of this petition within a period of 15 days from today to respondent No. 2 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the	

57

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1954/2005 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 1546/2005, I.A. Nos. 3703/2005 and 3771/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge